

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,227	10/28/2003	Jason D. Hatton	LMS3072P0310US (LS-039)	4959
32116 7	590 10/20/2006	•	EXAM	INER
WOOD, PHII	LLIPS, KATZ, CLARK	DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE		
500 W. MADIS	SON STREET			
SUITE 3800				PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	. 60661		3754	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Nil				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,227	HATTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum date of the maximum statutory. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE REPORT OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE REPORT OF THE	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/	<u> 31/06</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r	oplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/11/06, 4/14/06</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,227

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown et al 5,377,877.

Brown et al show (figure 19) a peripheral mounting flange 103, head 5c, sleeve 7c and first through seventh surfaces (figure 21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al.

Brown et al lack the flange having eight through tenth surfaces. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Brown et al flange having eight through tenth surfaces, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in

Application/Control Number: 10/695,227

Art Unit: 3754

the number/shape of a component. A change in number/shape of a component is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maddox et al 6,216,916 in view of Suffa 5,904,275.

Maddox et al show a discharge structure comprising showing a pump 60, discharge conduit 200 and valve 80. Maddox et al lack the valve a resilient pressure actuatable valve and a restraint structure. Suffa shows a discharge structure having a resilient pressure actuatable valve 4 and a restraint structure 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have substituted the Maddox et al valve with a resilient pressure actuatable valve and a restraint structure as taught by Suffa as an alternative equivalent means for controlling flow at an outlet from a discharge structure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PHILIPPE S DERAKSHAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

PD 10/3/06